



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/064,046	06/04/2002	Cheng-Shing Lai	IACP0015USA	9778
27765 75	590 06/27/2005	•	EXAMINER	
NORTH AMERICA INTERNATIONAL PATENT OFFICE (NAIPC)			SHAPIRO, LEONID	
P.O. BOX 506 MERRIFIELD,	, VA 22116		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE	
			2677	
			DATE MAILED: 06/27/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

- <u></u>		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/064,046	LAI ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Leonid Shapiro	2673	_			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
THE - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 G SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a resion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON a statute, cause the application to become AB	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. FHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>03/24/05</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠	This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 14 and 15 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	ion Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	- · ·	· · ·				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by t	•					
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)(Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Elee the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in A e priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage				
		·					
Attachmen			, ·				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94		ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date				
3) 🔲 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/s r No(s)/Mail Date		formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2673

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-3, 6, 8, 10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arita et al. (US Patent No. 5,432,530) in view of Jackson (US Patent No. 6,611,139 B1) and Garnjost et al. (US Patent No. 5,620,068).

As to claim 1, Arita et al. ('530) teaches a pointing device for a computer (See Figs. 12 A-B, item 31, Col. 9, Lines 25-38), comprising:

a body (See Fig. 1, item 1);

a magnetic field source for generating a magnetic field (See Fig. 1, item 7, Col. 6, Lines 50-59) having a direction relative to a measurement location and a magnitude proportional to a distance between the magnetic field source and the measurement location (See Figs. 1, 3 A-B, items 7, 9, Col. 7, Lines 12-35 and Col. 3, Lines 45-60);

a magnetic field sensor for measuring the magnitude and direction of the magnetic field generated by the magnetic source at a measurement location (See Figs. 1-2, item 9, Col. 7, Lines 12-35 and Col. 3, Lines 45-60), and outputting an electrical signal corresponding to the magnitude and direction of the magnetic field at the measurement location (See Figs. 3B, 37, items 9 a-b, Col. 14, Lines 41-53 and Col. 7, Lines 31-35);

Arita et al. ('530) does not show a flexible member for allowing and controlling a relative movement of the magnetic field source and magnetic field sensor such that when a force is

applied to an end of the flexible member position of the magnetic field sensor with respect to the magnetic field source is changed in a direction of the force by a distance proportional to the force; a processor for receiving the electrical signals output by the magnetic field sensor, and generating a correspondent location signal of the pointing device; and a transmission system for conveying the location signal from the processor to the computer.

Jackson teaches a flexible member connecting the magnetic field source and magnetic field sensor such that when a force is applied to the flexible member position of the magnetic field sensor with respect to the magnetic field source is changed in a direction of the force by a distance proportional to the force (See Fig. 1, items 19-20, Col. 6, Lines 39-48 and Lines 59-67); a processor for receiving the electrical signals output by the magnetic field sensor, and generating a correspondent location signal of the pointing device (see Fig. 10, items 46, 51, Col. 9, Lines 46-62); and a transmission system for conveying the location signal from the processor to the computer (See Fig 11, items 56-57, 60, from Col. 9, Line 63 to Col. 10, Line 8).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to implement a flexible member, a processor and a transmission system as shown by Jackson in Arita et al. ('530) apparatus in order to enable the movement of a cursor or object in the x-y and z directions as represented on a computer monitor (See Col. 1, Lines 9-11 in the Jackson > reference).

Jackson and Arita et al. ('530) do not show the flexible member and magnetic field source forming a critically dampened system.

Garnjost et al. teaches the flexible member (See Fig. 1, item 22) and mass (see Fig. 1, item 23) forming a critically dampened system (See Fig. 1, items 22-23, Col. 8, Lines 43-58).

Art Unit: 2673

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to incorporate teaching of Garnjost et al. into Jackson and Arita et al. ('530) system in order to implement method of damping vibration (See Col. 4, Lines 21-23 in Garnjost et al. reference).

As to claim 2, Jackson teaches the magnetic field source comprises a permanent magnet (See Fig, 1, items 1-2, Col. 1, Lines 4-11).

As to claim 3, Jackson teaches the magnetic field sensor comprises at least two hall elements each having a measuring axis and each capable of measuring the magnitude of the magnetic field at the measurement location in direction of measuring axis, the hall element arranged so that the measuring axes are not parallel (See fig. 1, items 14, 15, from Col. 5, Line 62 to Col. 6, Line3 and Col. 6).

As to claim 6, Jackson teaches the flexible member is dampened spring that can bend, compress, and extend (See Fig. 1, items 19-20, Col. 6, Lines 39-48 and Lines 59-67).

As to claim 8, Jackson teaches the pointing device comprising at least one button (See Fig. 7, items 64, 74).

As to claim 10, Jackson teaches the transmission system is a wireless transmission module (See Fig 11, items 56-57, 60, from Col. 9, Line 63 to Col. 10, Line 8).

As to claim 11, Jackson teaches the measurement location is the origin of measurement axes of the magnetic field sensor (See Fig. 11, item 52, from Col. 9, Line 63 to Col. 10, Line 3).

As to claim 12, Garnjost et al. teaches the flexible member (See Fig. 1, item 22) and mass (See Fig. 1, item 23) forming a critically dampened system (See Fig. 1, items 22-23, Col. 8, Lines 43-58).

As to claim 13, Garnjost et al. teaches the flexible member comprises a dampened element (See Fig. 1, item 22) and a support (See Fig. 1, item 21).

2. Claims 4, 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arita et al. ('530), Garnjost et al. and Jackson in view of Sava et al. (US Patent No. 4,459,578).

As to claim 4, Arita et al. ('530), Garnjost et al. and Jackson do not teach the magnetic field sensor comprising a single hall element having at least two mutually perpendicular measuring axes capable of measuring the magnitude of the magnetic field directions of each measuring axis at the measuring location.

Sava et al. teaches teach the magnetic field sensor comprising a single hall element (See Fig. 2, item 210), having at least two mutually perpendicular measuring axes capable of measuring the magnitude of the magnetic field directions of each measuring axis at the measuring location (replacing previous embodiment with two hall sensors) (See Figs. 1-2, items 116, 118, 120, 122, 208, 210, from Col. 3, Line 56 to Col. 4, Line 11).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the a single hall element as shown by Sava et al. in Arita et al. ('530), Garnjost et al. and Jackson apparatus in order to simplify mechanical construction.

As to claim 9, Jackson teaches z-direction sensing by hall sensor (See Fig. 7, item 81, from Col. 8, Line 60 to Col. 9, Line 8) and the pointing device comprising at least one button (See Fig. 7, items 64, 74).

Arita et al. ('530), Garnjost et al. and Jackson do not teach the button mechanically connected to the flexible member and is capable of changing the relative position of the magnetic

Page 6

field sensor with respect to the magnetic field source, and thus modifying the electrical signal output by the magnetic field sensor to comprise a button signal.

Sava et al. teaches as magnet position change the strength of the magnetic field surrounding hall element change (See Fig. 2, items 208, 210, from Col. 3, Line 56 to Col. 4, Line 11).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to be capable of changing the relative position of the magnetic field sensor with respect to the magnetic field source, and thus modifying the electrical signal output by the magnetic field sensor as shown by Sava et al. in Arita et al. ('530), Garnjost et al. and Jackson apparatus to mechanically connect button to the flexible member and to comprise a button signal in order to simplify control of the mouse.

3. Claims 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arita et al. ('530). Garnjost et al. and Jackson in view of Clymer et al. (US Patent No. 5,525,901).

Arita et al. ('530), Garnjost et al. and Jackson do not show the magnetic sensor comprises at least two magnetoresistors each having a measuring axis and each capable of measuring magnitude of the magnetic field at the measuring location in a direction of the measuring axis, the magnetoresistors arranged so that the measuring axis are not parallel.

Clymer et al. teaches the magnetic sensor comprises at least two magnetoresistors each having a measuring axis and each capable of measuring magnitude of the magnetic field at the measuring location in a direction of the measuring axis, the magnetoresistors arranged so that the measuring axis are not parallel (See Figs. 1-2, items A, B, Col. 7, Lines 11-44).

Application/Control Number: 10/064,046

Art Unit: 2673

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to implement two magnetoresistors each having a measuring axis and each capable of measuring magnitude of the magnetic field at the measuring location in a direction of the measuring axis, the magnetoresistors arranged so that the measuring axis are not parallel as shown by Clymer et al. Arita et al. ('530), Garnjost et al. and Jackson apparatus in order to implement sensor system that is useful for determining angular position of an item to which it is attached (See Col. 2, lines 9-11 in the Clymer et al. reference).

Page 7

4. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arita et al. ('530), Garnjost et al. and Jackson in view of Kruse et al. (US Patent No. 5, 259, 252).

Arita et al. ('530), Garnjost et al. and Jackson do not show the flexible member is a wire that can bend resiliently.

Kruse et al. teaches the flexible member is a wire that can bend resiliently (See Figs. 1, 4, items 41-42, 16', 26, Col. 4, Lines 18-25).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to implement the flexible member as a wire as shown by Kruse et al. in Arita et al. ('530), Garnjost et al. and Jackson apparatus in order to provide an improved apparatus for detecting and measuring forces (See Col. 1, Line 53-54 in the Kruse et al. reference).

Response to Amendment

5. Applicant's arguments filed on 03.24.05 with respect to claim1-10 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/064,046

Art Unit: 2673

Allowable Subject Matter

Page 8

6. Claims 14-15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would

be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim

and any intervening claims.

7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Relative to 14-15 the major difference between the teaching of the prior art of record

(Arita et al. ('530), Arita et al. ('502) and Jackson) and the instant invention is that the said prior

art does not teach a first end of flexible member is connected to the body and a second end of

flexible member is connected to magnetic field source or sensor; the magnetic sensor is

connected to a circuit which is in turn connected to the body.

Telephone inquire

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Leonid Shapiro whose telephone number is 703-305-5661. The

examiner can normally be reached on 8 a.m. to 5 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Bipin Shalwala can be reached on 703-305-4938. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/064,046

Art Unit: 2673

Page 9

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LS 06.17.05

> VIJAY SHANKAR PRIMARY EXAMINER